



MINUTES
CCACA CONFERENCE CALL
Wednesday, October 5, 2011 ~ 12:00p.m. ET

Participants:

Shonna Brown, Warren Lane, *America East*; Steve Sturek, *Atlantic Sun*; Jill Redmond, *Atlantic 10*; Joseph D'Antonio, Jennifer Condaras, Kenny Schank, Roberto Sasso, *BIG EAST*; Jaynee Nadolski, *Big Sky*; Sherika Montgomery, *Big South*; Chad Hawley, Kerry Kenny, *Big Ten*; Keri Boyce, David Flores, *Big 12*; Erica Montebardo, Melissa Swaffer, *Big West*; Kathleen Batterson, Vince Pierson, *Colonial*; Rob Philippi, Callie Hubbell, *Conference USA*; Mike Sharpe, *Great West*; Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Megan McHugo, *Ivy League*; Jackie Mynarski, Korinth Patterson, *MAC*; Sonja Stills, *Mid-Eastern*; Mary Mulvenna, *Missouri Valley*; Marlon Edge, *Mountain West*; Kelly Webb, *Northeast*; Matt Banker, *Ohio Valley*; Mike Matthews, Ron Barker, Erik Price, *Pac-12*; Ginger Fulton, Quinton Smith, *Patriot League*; Gil Grimes, *SEC*; Henry Archuleta, *Southland*; Angie Torrain, Matt Boyer, *Summit League*; Edgar Gantt, *SWAC*; Sarah Wilhelmi, *West Coast*; Matt Burgemeister, *WAC*;

1. INAAC.

D'Antonio provided the group with today's INAAC.

2. Review of Agenda

- a. Prospects standing on the sideline during pre-game activities at neutral site competitions (Keri Boyce, Big 12)

3. Approval of the September 7, 2011 Conference Call Minutes

Minutes were unanimously approved.

4. 2012 CCACA In-Person Meeting

D'Antonio reminded everyone to submit their RSVP form to Kenny by the designated deadline. D'Antonio also indicated that he will continue to update the group as details are finalized and will keep this item as a standing agenda item.

5. 2011-12 Legislative Cycle

D'Antonio asked if anyone wanted to discuss any specific proposals in this year's legislative cycle. There were no proposals discussed on the call.

6. Incidental Expense Waivers

Boyce, Big 12, provided an update on last month's discussion of incidental expense waivers. Boyce indicated that she was able to resolve many of the issues and will not go through the SLR process for those waivers that still appear to be impermissible. Boyce provided an updated status on each of the waivers on attachment five.

1. Not the exact same language as what is posted on LSDBi. Will update the form to include the language used on LSDBi.
2. Not the exact same language as what is posted on LSDBi. Will update the form to include the language used on LSDBi.

3. Will eliminate- legislation now allows
4. Still permissible
5. Still permissible
6. No longer permissible and will be removed from the form
7. Still permissible
8. Still permissible
9. Still permissible
10. No longer permissible and will be removed from the form

There was a lengthy discussion regarding number six and ten on the document. Specifically, Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Ivy League, asked whether number six was pertaining to institutional award ceremonies or for national awards ceremonies where outside organizations pay the expenses for student-athletes and institutions want to provide the same expenses for parents. Boyce responded that the majority of requests are probably for national ceremonies but she does not have specific information on the forms when submitted by her institutions. Campbell-McGovern indicated that the legislation seems to be limited to allow institutions to provide such expenses for parents to attend an institutional ceremony during the student-athlete's senior year but does not preclude an institution from wanting to provide expenses on an occasional basis for the parents to attend a national awards ceremony. There was agreement that institutional and national awards banquets should be placed in separate categories. Boyce also stated that the previously approved waiver on the form was listed in the transportation category and Bylaw 16.6.1.6.1 pertains only to complimentary admissions. Institutions could submit a waiver request for transportation to institutional banquets. Boyce confirmed that she did not see any IEW case precedent for an institution receiving a waiver to provide expenses for a parent to attend a national awards ceremony. Megan McHugo, Ivy League, indicated that her Conference has always allowed expenses listed on number ten through the legislation (NCAA Bylaw 16.5.2(f)). The group was in agreement with McHugo's analysis. Boyce indicated that she will update the form and send it out to the group.

7. **NCAA Working Groups**

D'Antonio indicated that the Post-Presidential Retreat Updates document was included in the call materials just for informational purposes. D'Antonio asked if anyone had any questions or information to share from one of the working groups. D'Antonio indicated that there should be some type of vote taken at the October 26-27 BOD meeting regarding the 930 benchmark, the core course requirements and other issues that CAP is reviewing. Additionally, the cost of attendance matter will also be dealt with in some capacity. D'Antonio also indicated that from what President Emmert has been saying, the BOD will be prepared to adopt these items in concept and will work through the minutia after the fact. These items would not be put through the legislative cycle. They would be adopted as emergency legislation. There were several concerns raised regarding the fact track of these matters. Specifically the items related to

championships and the proposed tier of violations. A suggestion was raised to have Julie Roe, NCAA, review those particular bylaws and allow a small group from CCACA assist in determining a list of prescribed penalties. This would avoid having conferences applying the standards differently. Campbell-McGovern indicated that Robin Harris, Ivy League, is on the enforcement working group. She will share the CCACA's concerns with Harris. There were concerns with creating a mandatory penalty list as opposed to creating a guide that institutions and conference can use. A question was raised as to whether the items adopted would be subject to the override process. Campbell-McGovern responded that these concepts would be subject to the override process. Anything the BOD adopts is subject to override. Another question was raised as to how this will be communicated to the membership that these items will not be going through the cycle. D'Antonio responded that the BOD has permission, according to the bylaws, to adopt legislation at any of their meetings. Another question was asked regarding the fact that these working groups have been created to review matters that are already being examined by the Cabinets and whether anyone had any comments about that. D'Antonio responded that the Presidents left the retreat determined to facilitate change in several key areas. Presidents at the retreat were asked to chair and co-chair the four working groups. The charge of those groups was to recommend change within the areas they have been designated to review. Those recommendations were then forwarded to the BOD for adoption. D'Antonio also indicated that his working group had an opportunity to review work done previously by several constituency groups prior to the meeting. Campbell-McGovern added that the Presidents at the meeting were not completely clear with what how the NCAA structure works. The BOD expressed frustration that every time progress is being made in one direction, it gets lost in the long process of the legislative review. It will be helpful having NCAA staff members as part of the process. It is unclear at this time what role the legislative council or Cabinets will have moving forward.

8. Radio / Play-by-Play Personnel

Mary Mulvenna, Missouri Valley, indicated that one of her institutions has an issue involving how Bylaws 13.10.2 and 13.10.3 come into play for a situation where an institutional staff member also works for a local radio station that includes interviewing prospects, local HS coaches and HS broadcasts. NCAA staff indicated that since he is an institutional employee, he is not able to do his radio show. The institution is fighting the issue because there is a clear line of demarcation when he is doing the play by play for the institution. He is in no way commenting on particular prospects, HS games, etc. Mulvenna indicated that she agrees that doing the local HS games on Saturday is a bit different. Mulvenna asked for feedback on this issue. D'Antonio responded that Bylaw 13.10.3.1 seems pretty clear and that the institution's scenario would not be permissible. Other individuals indicated that they have had similar questions and have advised that such an arrangement would not be permissible.

9. Bylaw 17.1.6.4 "off day"

Henry Archuleta, Southland, asked for feedback on an issue involving countable athletically related activities occurring on the designated off day. Specifically the institution's football team had required the student-athletes get in at least two weight workouts a week. There was not a specified day for the workouts, and

the student-athletes had the option of doing the workouts on any day of the week as long as they got in both workouts. The institution had designated Monday as their off day for the week and some of the student-athletes had chosen to get in one of their required workouts on Monday. Archuleta indicated that his office provided the interpretation that those workouts would be considered countable athletically related activity pursuant to Bylaw 17.2.1. Because the workouts were required, involved the student-athletes and were ultimately at the direction of the coaching staff, the workouts must be considered countable athletically related activities regardless of the potential for the workouts occurring on their designated day off. Bylaw 17.1.6.4, all countable athletically related activities are prohibited on the designated off day. The NCAA staff provided the same interpretation. The group agreed with Archuleta's analysis.

10. Additional Items

Prospects Standing on the Sidelines During Pre-Game Activities at Neutral Site Competitions

Boyce asked for feedback regarding prospects standing on the sideline during pre-game activities for competitions held at neutral sites. This is very prevalent in football and most competitions occur during an evaluation period. Any contact that occurred would have to count as an off-campus contact. The group agreed with this analysis. The group also discussed the provision of complimentary admissions to prospects and agreed that only the home team would be permitted to do that.

11. Next Conference Call

Wednesday, November 2, 2011 at Noon (EST)

12. Adjournment