CCA Compliance Administrators Teleconference October 4, 2000

Those participating: Tracy Shoemake, America East; Jackie Campbell, Atlantic 10; Heena Shaw, Stan Wilcox, Big East; Ellen Ferris, Big Sky; Jill Deese, Big South; Jennifer Heppel, Carol Iwaoka, Karen Toole, Big Ten; Erica Satterfield, Big West; Noreen Morris, Tony Moses, Conference USA; Bevin Hartnett, Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Ivy; Roger Jeffries, Metro Atlantic Athletic; Alfreeda Goff, Stephanie Jarvis, Midwestern Collegiate; Amy Huchthausen, Missouri Valley; Carolayne Henry, Mountain West; Dan Coonan, Mike Matthews, Pacific-10; Beth Debauche, Jim McCullough, Southeastern; Gil Grimes, Southern; Rob Bernardi, Sun Belt; Sherri Booker, Steve Sturek, Trans America; Don Ott, West Coast.

- 1. <u>Approval of Minutes</u>. Approved the minutes of the September 6, 2000 meeting.
- 2. <u>Review of NCAA issues</u>. Carol Iwaoka led a discussion on the upcoming Management Council meeting. The discussion involved the following proposals:
 - A. <u>Items related to the Special Assistance Fund.</u> Attention was called to some of the items that the Committee on Financial Aid has recommended to the Management Council regarding the special assistance fund. Included are the expansion of the SAF to include student-athletes with eligibility exhausted provided they have met any one of the 3 qualification categories, and a recommendation to include expenses for fees associated with accreditation or graduate school exams.
 - B. <u>99-61</u> Referred to the recent LRIS interpretation discussed on the September 6, 2000 call, that will allow the \$20 per diem for a maximum of 10 days for teams whose institutions are in the same town as the bowl game. This per diem can begin once the team reports for practice for the contest. It was reported that this interpretation is now final.
 - C. <u>2000-33</u> Discussed the compromise amendment that would allow institutions to appeal medical hardship waivers. Jennifer Heppel reported that the NCAA reinstatement staff would be supportive of deleting the word "conference" from the proposal, therefore rendering such appeals permissible. The group also was supportive of a reinstatement suggestion to require conference offices to submit appeals of hardship waivers, instead of institutions. If this new policy is enacted, it was also suggested that conferences should be provided with precedent for the approval of 14.2.4 appeals, so that conference offices could accurately predict whether the standard is met.
 - D. <u>Amateurism Deregulation</u>. Carol Iwaoka shared that the amateurism proposals have been packaged together for voting purposes and that 99-106 (20 year age rule) will be considered separately. Further, the intention is for all of the amateurism proposals to be

approved initially for the purpose of membership comment.

- i. <u>2000-97</u> Discussed the questions raised by this proposal, including whether recruitment is limited to the institution contacted by the prospect, or permissible for all institutions how would other institutions know that contact is permissible? Furthermore, does the first opportunity to enroll refer to the actual date of graduation or the expected date? The proposal states that recruitment must cease if the prospect does not enroll at the first opportunity following graduation. Is the "first opportunity" institution specific? If so, institutions operating on a quarter system would have an advantage. The CCACA will request that LRIS review the above issues related to this proposal.
- ii. <u>2000-47</u> Big East, supported by the AEC cabinet -permits individuals prior to collegiate enrollment to accept educational expenses from any individual or entity other than an agent, professional sports team/organization or representative of an institution's athletics interests, provided such expenses are disbursed directly through the recipient's educational institution. This proposal is intended to clean up many of the reinstatement problems that have been prevalent recently.
- E. <u>Supplement 25.</u> Noreen Morris encouraged everyone to look at Supplement 25 in the Management Council materials for a review of some deregulation proposals in draft form. Noreen said it is her understanding that the Management Council is prepared to initially review these proposals at the October meeting.
- F. <u>2000-76</u> Conference USA, supported by AEC -hardship waiver calculation based on scheduled competition rather than completed events. Noreen Morris said this proposal addresses sports in which cancellations are common (e.g..: baseball). The proposal would use events scheduled by the first date of competition.
- G. 2000-90 SEC. AEC supported requires students to pass 6 credit hours in the term during the academic year immediately preceding postseason competition. Summer credits and correspondence courses could not be counted as part of the 6 required hours. So, for fall sports 6 hours would have to be passed during the preceding spring. Beth Debauche reported that the proposal does have a waiver component. The group discussed the timing of the 6 credit hours, including the crunch time in receiving grades during the Christmas break and the effect on institutions that are on the quarter system. There was also discussion about the effect that the proposal would have on basketball, since a student-athlete could be eligible for the regular season, but ineligible for the postseason. The SEC experimented with this proposal last year and is supported by the SEC presidents and FARs.
- H. 2000-102 103 and 104 postenrollment proposals coming from the AEC. Proposal

- 104 involves a laundry list of benefits for student-athletes that are currently being handled mostly through the waiver process.
- I. <u>2000-61</u> Big East proposal -permits financial aid to a professional athlete (no more than 5 years within a 6 year period).
 - i. <u>2000-61-1</u> AEC supported the concept and amended the proposal so that a student-athlete would not have to complete eligibility in order to get financial aid from the institution. The Big East conference supports this amendment.
- J. 2000-65 Big Ten -Increases women's soccer grants to 14 (resubmission of 2000-08).
- K. <u>2000-75</u> Conference USA, AEC supported -employee dependent tuition waivers Noreen Morris stated that this proposal would waive a student-athlete, whose parent has worked for the institution for 5 years prior to enrollment, from counting in team limits (individual limits would still apply). The 5 year minimum provision is to prevent institutions from arranging jobs for the parents of prospects.
- L. <u>2000-51</u> AEC cabinet -requires foreign student-athletes to be subject to core curriculum time limits -the group expressed concern about how this proposal is written. Specifically, just adding a sentence to the current legislation is too simple and creates an inequity for foreign students. Concern was expressed for treating foreign students similarly to students in the U.S., when foreign students do not operate under the 8 semester/4 year model.
- M. <u>2000-78</u> Ivy -Carolyn Campbell-McGovern reported that this proposal is intended to accommodate students who are walk-ons, especially foreign students who do not realize that a minimum test score must be achieved prior to enrollment.
- N. <u>2000-106</u> Championships/Competition Cabinet -This proposal eliminates certified events, and limits the number and type of preseason contests (one practice scrimmage or one non Division I exhibition).
- 0. <u>2000-67</u> Big Ten -permits institutions to arrange summer housing for NLI signees. This proposal is intended to eliminate the potential for reduced cost housing and for institutions to have a better opportunity to address abuse and monitor the activity of these incoming freshmen. The CCACA members on the call expressed support for this proposal.
- P. <u>2000-68</u> Big Ten, AEC supported -would eliminate the institutional violation for unlimited phone calls when a visit is cancelled. Those CCACA members on the call expressed support for this proposal.

- Q. <u>2000-71 & 89</u> AEC supports 71 (Big Ten) -exemption of basketball prospects, who have signed an NLI and are receiving financial aid, from the summer dead period in the new recruiting calendar. Mike Matthews stated that the Pac-10 plans to withdraw 2000-89. These proposals do not address camps and clinics during the dead period (they are still impermissible). An amendment or new legislation is needed to address the summer camp issue.
- R. <u>2000-74</u> Conference USA -permits institutions to hire a band that includes prospects if it does not have a marching/pep band. Noreen said that this proposal resulted because it is extremely difficult to find a band that does not include prospect age students. At present it is not permissible to pay the expenses for a band that includes prospects. The Administrative Review subcommittee has only granted a one time waiver in these situations.
- S. <u>2000-91</u> SEC -permits an institutional staff member to provide transportation to a new student when arriving on campus for required orientation sessions. Does away with a situation where an incoming freshman must secure transportation from the airport during the summer, many times when the student is on their own since parents rarely attend these sessions (especially true for foreign student-athletes).
- 3. <u>Bylaw 11.6.2</u> Jennifer Heppel introduced an inconsistency in the way Bylaw 11.6.2 is currently written in the 2000-01 manual. Specifically, the NCAA staff has interpreted the tournament scouting exception as applicable only to football, basketball, women's volleyball, and lacrosse. As a result, all other sports cannot scout at conference or other tournaments, since expenses are obviously paid to attend these events. However the 1988-89 manual, as outlined in Case 392, extended the tournament scouting exception to all sports. Therefore, it appears that an error occurred in the reformatting of the manual between the 1988-89 and 1989-90 issues. The CCACA favors an LRIS interpretation that would extend the tournament scouting exception outlined in Bylaw 11.6.1.1 to all sports.

4. Other issues

- a. <u>Recruiting coordinators</u>. Dan Coonan expressed a concern from the Pac 10 conference about the role of recruiting coordinators in the sport of football. The belief is that it is common practice throughout the country for non coaching individuals to perform many of the tasks reserved for full time coaches in Bylaws 11.7.2.3 and 11.7.3.3. In particular, recruiting correspondence (beyond routine clerical work) seems to be commonly prepared by individuals other than coaches. The Pac 10 has prepared a list of tasks that are the sole responsibility of the coaching staff, and has agreed to share this list with the CCACA.
- b. Management Council Materials. Concern was expressed on the call about the timeliness

of recent Management Council mailings. The most recent mailing has only recently arrived three weeks prior to the October meeting, placing a hardship on conferences in distributing this material to their members. It was agreed that a great deal of the problem lies in the close proximity of the cabinet meeting dates to the Management Council dates. It was agreed that this concern will be expressed at the October Management Council meeting.

5. <u>Future Calls.</u> Set the next teleconference for 11:00 a.m. (CST) on Wednesday, November 1.