



MINUTES
CCACA CONFERENCE CALL
Wednesday, November 2, 2011 ~ 12:00p.m. ET

Participants:

Shonna Brown, Warren Lane, *America East*; Steve Sturek, *Atlantic Sun*; Jill Redmond, *Atlantic 10*; Joseph D'Antonio, Jennifer Condaras, Kenny Schank, Roberto Sasso, *BIG EAST*; Jaynee Nadolski, *Big Sky*; Sherika Montgomery, *Big South*; Jennifer Heppel, Kerry Kenny, *Big Ten*; David Flores, *Big 12*; Erica Montebardo, Melissa Swaffer, *Big West*; Kathleen Batterson, Vince Pierson, *Colonial*; Rob Philippi, Callie Hubbell, *Conference USA*; Mike Sharpe, *Great West*; Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Megan McHugo, *Ivy League*; Samantha Hegmann, *MAAC*; Jackie Mynarski, *MAC*; Sonja Stills, Quintin Wright, *Mid-Eastern*; Patty Viverito, Mary Mulvenna, *Missouri Valley*; Marlon Edge, *Mountain West*; Kelly Webb, *Northeast*; Matt Banker, *Ohio Valley*; Mike Matthews, *Pac-12*; Quinton Smith, *Patriot League*; Greg Sankey, Gil Grimes, *SEC*; Henry Archuleta, *Southland*; Angie Torrain, Matt Boyer, *Summit League*; Sarah Wilhelmi, Nick Cartan, *West Coast*; Matt Burgemeister, *WAC*;

1. INAAC.

D'Antonio provided the group with today's INAAC.

2. Review of Agenda

- a. 2010-26, Mike Sharpe, Great West

3. Approval of the October 5, 2011 Conference Call Minutes

Minutes were unanimously approved.

4. 2012 CCACA In-Person Meeting

D'Antonio reminded everyone to submit their RSVP form to Kenny by the designated deadline. D'Antonio will continue to update the group as details are finalized.

5&6. 2011-12 Legislative Cycle & NCAA Working Groups

D'Antonio indicated the report from the October 17-18, 2011 Legislative Council meeting was included in the materials. D'Antonio noted the Legislative Council is recommending that several of the legislative proposals be tabled in light of the fact that many of the concepts addressed in some of the proposals are also similar to concepts that are currently being addressed by the Post Presidential Retreat Working Groups. One of the goals of the Legislative Council is not to stand in the way of what is going on with the working groups but rather work in conjunction with these organizations and the charges they are currently faced with. D'Antonio indicated that the BIG EAST Conference has amended proposal 2011-30 which now provides a uniform date for purposes of contacting PSAs via electronic medium, phone calls and/or correspondence through regular mail. The starting date is June 15th. The proposal will include language "... during or at the conclusion of the PSA's sophomore year in HS." D'Antonio noted that this proposal could be tabled, but that there is an interest in having a uniform start date.

Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Ivy League, noted that the women's basketball issues committee is discussing legislative options with a start date of June 15th

with a different date for phone calls. This committee could insert something into the legislative process through the Leadership Council.

Greg Sankey, SEC, indicated that the Rules Working Group talked about Bylaw 11 and whether it could be refined and reduced down to only necessary personnel issues. The committee also had a preliminary conversation on Bylaw 13 and revisions. A question was raised as to whether the membership would be voting on deregulation of text messages. Sankey responded that such a vote would more than likely be taken by the BOD and not the Legislative Council. Another question was asked as to whether the membership would see a document with the updates to the bylaws. Sankey was not sure what will be provided.

A question was raised regarding whether the financial aid legislative concepts that were approved would be assigned proposal numbers so they can go through the override process. Campbell-McGovern responded that she did not recall any conversations during the BOD meeting on how individuals can initiate an override. However, these proposals are subject to the override process. Campbell-McGovern reminded the group that the BOD adopted last August the new override standards. The number of votes needed to override now is 70 and 125 to stop it from going into effect. Campbell-McGovern indicated that she would reach out to the NCAA staff to get the override information and will share it with the group. A question was raised regarding potential changes to non-championship segment competition that are coming from the Resource Allocation group. D'Antonio responded that everything is still on the table and open for discussion. One of the recommendations is to eliminate games during the non-championship segment. This is not an absolute done deal at this time, but this segment has been diluted quite a bit over the last couple of years. D'Antonio also discussed the potential for a ten percent reduction in games during the championship segment. The biggest issue by far is how to address coaches' salaries and non-coaching staff members. Campbell-McGovern indicated there is some confusion with the BOD as to what problems the Resource Allocation group is trying to solve with each of their proposals. Is the ultimate goal to save money? The BOD provided feedback to the chairs of that committee to be more specific with the charge for the group. A few concerns/ challenges for this committee that were raised on the call were to achieve cost saving initiatives despite the BOD approving the \$2000 miscellaneous expense stipend and to reduce scholarship numbers while the Committee on Academic Performance (CAP) is recommending broader access to athletic aid for incoming freshmen who fall slightly below initial eligibility standards.

7. NLI/ Multiyear Grants

D'Antonio noted that the NCAA is creating a Q&A document dealing with many of the questions that are coming from the membership as a result of the new legislative changes that have been adopted. D'Antonio asked if anyone had any questions or concerns they wanted to raise.

There was a discussion on how institutions will process grants-in-aid this year given the adoption of multi-year grants. The group raised many options for institutions depending on whether the institution will be offering multi-year awards. Additionally, institutions can always provide a one year award for the signing period and "increase" the award to a multi-year award prior to the

student-athlete attending classes. Those conferences that provide a conference grant-in-aid have removed references to duration and the nature of the aid provided and inserted the bylaw information. There was also a lot of discussion regarding the inclusion of caveats in case a multi-year grant is awarded but the student-athlete does not fulfill the total duration of the grant. No one on the call indicated their conference would be limiting institutions from awarding multi-year grants. There was a lengthy discussion regarding whether the \$2000 should be included on the grant-in-aid agreement and if partial scholarship recipients are eligible to receive the stipend. The consensus of the group was that as long as a student-athlete was receiving institutional aid equivalent of a full scholarship, regardless of the source, he/she could receive the \$2000. Finally, the group discussed whether a student-athlete becomes a counter if they receive the \$2000. The group did not reach a conclusion on this matter.

8. Additional Items

Mike Sharpe, Great West, raised a concern from the April 2011 Legislative Council minutes regarding proposals 2010-26 and 2005-26. Specifically, the minutes stated that although this proposal was not adopted, the membership should be, "operating under the Board's granted authority to apply the parameters." D'Antonio responded that the directive from the Board allows institutions, if they so choose, to use the percentage related parameters of proposal 2005-26 for purposes of having student-athletes involved in advertisements and/or activities involving commercial entities. What has happened as a result of proposal 2010-26 as amended by 2010-26-3 is the potential for strict enforcement. The NCAA has essentially said that if the membership does not adopt 2010-26-3, the directive from the Board that was issued in 2005 will go away and the membership is held to the standards that currently exist in the manual in Bylaw 12.5.1 matters. The leeway that the directive might have provided to the membership will go away. Sharpe inquired if there were other directives that are being enforced right now. D'Antonio responded that he was not aware of any other directives other than the recent directives from the Academic Cabinet regarding Initial Eligibility Waivers. A few conferences indicated that there members have utilized this directive.

Sarah Wilhelmi, West Coast, informed the group that her conference amended proposal 2011-22 by changing the laundry list of individuals who could sit on the bench to an overall number of individuals. The number is 17 and was taken from the NCAA limit for championships, as well as 22 in the bench vicinity.

9. Next Conference Call

Wednesday, November 30, 2011 at Noon (EST)

10. Adjournment



MINUTES
CCACA CONFERENCE CALL
Wednesday, November 30, 2011 ~ 12:00p.m. ET

Participants:

Shonna Brown, Warren Lane, *America East*; Lindsey Babcock, Shamaree Brown, *ACC*; Steve Sturek, *Atlantic Sun*; Jill Redmond, *Atlantic 10*; Joseph D'Antonio, Jennifer Condaras, Kenny Schank, Roberto Sasso, *BIG EAST*; Jaynee Nadolski, *Big Sky*; Sherika Montgomery, *Big South*; David Flores, Keri Boyce, *Big 12*; Erica Montebaro, Melissa Swaffer, *Big West*; Kathleen Batterson, Vince Pierson, *Colonial*; Rob Philippi, Callie Hubbell, Frank Arrendondo, *Conference USA*; Mike Sharpe, *Great West*; Christine Halstead, *Horizon*; Barbara Church, Samantha Hegmann, *MAAC*; Korinth Patterson, *MAC*; Marlon Edge, *Mountain West*; Kelly Webb, *Northeast*; Matt Banker, *Ohio Valley*; Ginger Fulton, Quinton Smith, *Patriot League*; Greg Sankey, Gil Grimes, *SEC*; Henry Archuleta, *Southland*; Angie Torrain, Matt Boyer, *Summit League*; Edgar Gantt, Kentrell Kearney, *SWAC*; Scott Connors, *Sun Belt*; Sarah Wilhelmi, *West Coast*; Matt Burgemeister, *WAC*;

1. INAAC.

D'Antonio provided the group with today's INAAC.

2. Review of Agenda

- a. BFG Recruiting Services Registration, Sarah Wilhelmi, West Coast
- b. NCAA Investigations- Penn State Issues, Sarah Wilhelmi
- c. Bylaw 13.11.1.8, Joe D'Antonio
- d. Bylaw 17.9.6.1.1, Lindsey Babcock, ACC

3. Approval of the November 2, 2011 Conference Call Minutes

Minutes were unanimously approved.

4. 2012 CCACA In-Person Meeting

D'Antonio reminded everyone to submit their RSVP form to Kenny by the designated deadline. D'Antonio announced that he anticipated Leeland Zeller, Lynn Holzman and Jennifer Henderson, NCAA will be in attendance and requested for the group to begin sending him agenda items for the meeting.

5. 2011-12 Legislative Cycle

D'Antonio asked if anyone had anything to discuss relative to the current legislative cycle. No one brought up any specific legislative topics.

6. NCAA Working Groups

D'Antonio asked if anyone had anything to discuss relative to the NCAA Working Groups. D'Antonio notified the group that the Resource Allocation Working Group will be having an in-person meeting in Atlanta on Friday. The NCAA provided an update on this group that stated the committee will be operating off of a blank slate with regard to topics to be discussed. There was an overwhelming amount of negative feedback from the membership and constituency groups regarding previous initiatives that had been preliminarily approved by the Working Group. D'Antonio received a call from Holzman regarding the CCACA participating with the NCAA in reviewing documents and/or concepts that ultimately the Rules Working Group will be releasing to the

membership in some capacity. The goal of the exercise would be for different constituency groups to weigh in on some of the work that is being done by the Rules Working Group when the appropriate time for that arises. D'Antonio indicated that the CCACA would be most valuable if it could review some of these issues with the NCAA and/or members of the Working Group on a conference call. D'Antonio is putting together a cross-section of the CCACA group that will consist of the CCACA Advisory Group and 3-4 others from the group. D'Antonio will announce the subcommittee once it has been finalized. D'Antonio indicated that Jackie Campbell, NCAA, asked him to share information with the group regarding an open forum that is being held on the Friday of the NCAA Convention from 9am to 12. This meeting will be dedicated to a discussion on areas that the Rules Working Group is reviewing. There will be an opportunity for the membership to ask questions and offer opinions.

Greg Sankey, SEC, notified the group that there will not be a CCA meeting at the Convention. Sankey will confirm that in an email to the Commissioners. A question was raised regarding where the working groups post their reports online. D'Antonio responded that the groups do not post their reports. The NCAA has provided updates after the Working Groups' meetings. The last update was provided October 28th. Shortly after that, there was a 2 page update specific to the Resource Allocation Working Group. D'Antonio offered to send the second update via the list serve.

7. Outstanding Issues Miscellaneous Expense/Multiyear Grants

D'Antonio asked if anyone had anything to discuss relative to these items. No one brought up any specific matters.

8. Coaches Certification Test

D'Antonio informed the group that Babcock will be unable to lead this group's efforts relative to the coaches' certification test. Therefore if anyone is interested in taking over this responsibility or is interested in being a part of the committee that reviews this material, they should let D'Antonio know. This will be an agenda item for the in-person meeting. D'Antonio also indicated that he will be once again discussing the opportunity to eliminate the test altogether. Babcock also noted that the Rules Working Group would also be looking at the test.

9. Meals for GA's During Academic Year Breaks

Babcock informed the group that she had a conversation with the NCAA staff right before Thanksgiving regarding whether it was permissible for an institution to provide a GA coach per diem, as you would for student-athletes, for meals when the institution's dining halls are closed. The NCAA responded that it would not be permissible to provide a per diem when dining halls are closed for breaks that occur during the term because they can get a full scholarship based on twelve hours of enrollment and therefore are already receiving assistance for meals during those times. The NCAA also indicated that GA coaches are only treated like student-athletes with regard to meals during pre-season. Babcock noted that she informed her institutions that it is not permissible to provide GA coaches with per diem for post-game meals (\$15). Babcock asked the group for feedback. No one offered any additional comments.

10. Additional Items

A. BFG Recruiting Services Registration

Sarah Wilhelmi, West Coast, indicated that she reached out to the BFG to get some feedback on the timing of the registration process. Her institutions have directed their various services to register with the NCAA and wanted the opportunity to check that status to see where they are in the process. Wilhelmi noted that Sandy Parrott, NCAA, indicated that there is not an opportunity to check. Once the list is released, you will either see the service or not. Wilhelmi asked for feedback from the group to see if others were operating similarly or if there was interest in reaching out to the NCAA to change this. Other individuals indicated that they had received similar responses from the NCAA. Sankey noted that it would be helpful to be able to tell if a particular service has applied. Sankey and D'Antonio will look into that opportunity and report back to the group.

B. NCAA Investigations- Penn State Issues

Wilhelmi indicated that her institutions are taking a close look at their policies and what would be the protocol should a similar tragedy occur. The NCAA recently issued a statement indicating that they are doing an investigation regarding sportsmanship and ethical conduct and the institutional control piece. Wilhelmi asked if other institutions are putting together policies and what thresholds should be included. No one offered any specific information or resources.

C. Bylaw 13.11.1.8

D'Antonio informed the group that an issue has surfaced over the last few days that is beneficial for this group to be aware of in case institutions are not tracking on this matter. Specifically, it is clear that an institution can no longer conduct a non-scholastic event at its on-campus facility. The issue is more with situations involving facilities that are not owned or operated by the institution but is used by the institution on a regular basis for home competitions. The NCAA has confirmed that if this type of facility conducts a non-scholastic event, the institution would have to report a secondary violation. D'Antonio reviewed the BFG definition of a non-scholastic event from a recent regional rules seminar. Essentially a non-scholastic event is defined by the entity or the person running the event, not the event itself or who is participating. D'Antonio also noted that a particular event could be categorized as non-scholastic for BFG purposes but be considered scholastic for all other areas of the NCAA legislation. Lastly, the BFG will soon be issuing an advisory document for the membership. Babcock indicated that she has had a similar issue. One additional issue that has come up is that BFG and AMA were not providing the same interpretation and causing more confusion for event operators and institutions. BFG was responding that it is not permissible and AMA was responding that it was permissible. BFG has final authority on such matters. D'Antonio also noted that there are proposals in the cycle that would create similar legislation in the sports of football and women's basketball.

D. Bylaw 17.9.6.1.1

Babcock informed the group an editorial revision (2011-16) was drafted for this bylaw. Essentially the revision changes the language in the bylaw regarding discretionary time. Previously the rule said that an institution had to designate eight weeks of discretionary time from January 1 through the start of preseason

practice. The bylaw now reads that an institution has to designate eight weeks of discretionary time from January 1 through the end of the academic year. Babcock indicated that she was unable to find anything online to support the revision. The NCAA staff indicated that it was never the intent of the original legislation for the eight weeks of discretionary time to be spread out until pre-season practice. This was not spelled out clearly in the proposal which is why we have the editorial revision. Babcock asked for feedback on whether anyone else has been tracking on this or whether this is going to be a big issue. Keri Boyce, Big 12, responded that she has also received questions regarding this issue, and that the editorial revision reflects proposal 2009-81. That proposal implemented a separate designation for summer conditioning period which picks up the end of the academic year to pre-season practice. This editorial revision does not reduce the amount of time, but it does change the way it is applied because you now have nine consecutive weeks between the end of the academic year and pre-season practice, which is the summer conditioning period where only one of those weeks during that period that can be discretionary time. Previously you could stretch out the eight weeks into the beginning of the summer. Now you will not have that option.

- 11. Next Conference Call**
Wednesday, January 4, 2012 at noon EST
- 12. Adjournment**