



CCACA Conference call minutes

Wed., November 6, 2002
9:02-9:44 a.m. PT

Participants: Tracy Shoemake, America East (co-chair); Shane Lyons, Amy Huchthausen, ACC; Steve Sturek, Sherri Booker, Atlantic Sun; Liz Kane, Atlantic 10; Jerome Rodgers, Lindsey Babcock, Big East; Ellen Ferris, Ron Loghry, Big Sky; Dawn Turner, Big South; Carol Iwaoka, Jennifer Heppel, Chad Hawley, Big Ten; Lori Ebihara, Big 12; Noreen Morris, C-USA; George Smiley, HMSS; Barbara Church, Tim Wuorinen, MAAC; Dell Robinson, Tracy Scott, Mid-American; Sonja Stills, MEAC; Sarah Weier, Missouri Valley; Mike Matthews (co-chair), Pac-10; Shonna Brown, Patriot; Gil Grimes, Southern; Gloria Nevarez, WCC; Pat Hairston, WAC.

1. Approval of minutes of Oct. 2, 2002, conference call

The minutes were approved without dissent.

2. NCAA Odds and Ends

CCACA alum Beth DeBauche reported:

- Much of the work at the recent Board of Directors meeting had a task force focus. She noted the next set of task force meetings would be held January 12 at 2:15 p.m. at the NCAA Convention. A Board task force and a Management Council ad hoc committee would work together via November and December conference calls on suggestions for incentives/disincentives related to student-athlete academic performances.
- Discussion about student-athlete insurance, the football out-of-season conditioning proposal, and the final report of the Football Study Oversight Committee was delayed until the Board's January's meeting.
- A survey(s) pertaining to incorporating the NCAA Convention into the legislative process and returning to one legislative cycle per year would be distributed soon by the Management Council Governance Subcommittee. She reported that previous survey results about using the Convention in the legislative process were unclear. DeBauche believed action based on the survey results (which might be available at the Convention) would likely occur no earlier than ManCo's April 2003 meeting.
- DeBauche acknowledged that a survey about student-athlete time demands, which was circulated on behalf of the Championships/Competition Cabinet's Playing and Practice Seasons Subcommittee, had taken some people (including coaches and coaches' associations) by surprise and had created significant confusion.
- Discussion about the Student-Athlete Opportunity Fund might be held at January's Management Council meeting provided conferences settle on a

suggestion for using the Fund.

- DeBauche asked the group to remind their members of these two items:
 - Δ Convention attendees are encouraged to register and get credentials. Due to security concerns and the fact the delegates reception is at a Disney park, all delegates will be required to have credentials. There will be a fee for guests who attend the delegates reception.
 - Δ Sharon Tufano of the NCAA staff will send out a memo notifying the membership that the NCAA will establish a website to submit the names of any individuals who have passed away during the course of this year this will assist the staff in ensuring an accurate list is produced for the Convention.

3. Conference compliance review materials

Discussion on this topic was delayed until the November in-person meeting of the group.

4. Hot Topics from the NCAA

There was no disagreement among the group that the Hot Topics that had been circulated to the CCACA were confirmations of legislation. Members indicated that they had generally circulated the Hot Topics to their institutions. Morris noted that failing to circulate the Hot Topics defeated the purpose of their existence: to provide all institutions with the same information from the NCAA. Heppel noted that when representatives of the CCACA and NCAA staff met and formulated the Hot Topics concept, there was no sentiment to place the Hot Topics on LSDBi.

5. Club teams and seasons of competition

Based upon this interp...

Institution's Club Team -- Case No. 291

Date Issued: Mar 19, 1987

Type: Official

Item Ref: 4

Confirmed that a student-athlete would not be charged with a season of intercollegiate competition for participating on a member institution's club team regardless of the provisions of Case No. 291, provided the institution does not sponsor the sport on the varsity intercollegiate level; noted, however, that if the institution sponsors the sport on both the varsity intercollegiate and club levels, the provisions of Case No. 291 must be applied to the institutional club team to determine if a season of intercollegiate competition has been utilized by a student-athlete; agreed that the provisions of Case No. 291 should be applied in the same manner to athletics activities at nonmember collegiate institutions for purposes of determining whether a student-athlete has participated in a season of intercollegiate competition.]

...the group agreed that if a student-athlete was cut from an institution's intercollegiate team in the fall, and then competed for the institution's club team (in the same sport) and for the intercollegiate team (during the non-championship segment) in the spring in the same academic year, s/he would use a season of competition as a result of the club team competition.

6. Future meetings

6.1 November 18 in-person meeting

It was noted that the CCACA would meet from 10 a.m.-noon at the NCAA Office in the Palmer Pierce Room, Walter Byers Auditorium, and Technology Training Center in Indianapolis, prior to the NCAA/CCACA Forum on November 18-19..

Matthews asked that agenda items and any supplementary materials (preferably as PDF files) be provided to him by November 13 so that they may be circulated to the group in advance of the meeting.

6.2 Conference calls

The next conference call is December 4 (9 Pacific/10 Mountain/11 Central/12 Eastern); Phone: 703-375-5504, Passcode: 6141623.

Additional calls will be held January 8, February 5, March 5, April 2, and May 14; phone number and passcode to be determined.

7. BSK Compliance Toolbox/NCAA Compliance Assistant software

The group discussed the current state of the BSK and NCAA products, including pricing, data migration, beta testing concerns, and liability for violations that resulted from a software bug. It was agreed to:

- Add this item to the agenda of the November in-person meeting. At that time Ebihara and Turner may be able to provide feedback to the group about the NCAA Compliance Assistant beta-test program. Lyons may also be able to provide feedback about the BSK product.
- Ask the NCAA staff if it planned to demo its product at this year's Forum.

8. NCAA website changes

Several members noted that a reorganization of the NCAA website made it a bit more difficult to access recruiting calendar and certified events pages. Turner noted that using the site index...

<<http://www1.ncaa.org/eprise/main/administrator/index.html>>

...helped locate the pages faster. Shoemake agreed to discuss with the NCAA making the link to the recruiting calendars more prominent and easily found.

9. Basketball financial disclosure packets

It was noted that the basketball financial disclosure forms had been sent to conference commissioners on October 25. Shoemake agreed to ask the NCAA if CCACA contacts could be included on the distribution list.

mm

11/6/02



CCACA meeting minutes

**Mon., November 18, 2002
at NCAA, Indianapolis, IN**

10:07 a.m.-12:15 p.m. ET

Participants: Tracy Shoemake, America East (co-chair); Shane Lyons, Amy Huchthausen, ACC; Liz Kane, Atlantic 10; Stan Wilcox, Jerome Rodgers, Lindsey Babcock, Big East; Ron Loghry, Big Sky; Dawn Turner, Big South; Carol Iwaoka, Jennifer Heppel, Chad Hawley, Big Ten; Lori Ebihara, Big 12; Erica Satterfield, Big West; Noreen Morris, Myra Fishback, C-USA; Mary Lou Thimas, ECAC; Stephanie Jarvis, Horizon; Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Nathan Fry, Ivy; Tim Wuorinen, MAAC; Dell Robinson, Tracy Scott, Mid-American; Sonja Stills, MEAC; Patty Viverito, Sarah Weier, Missouri Valley; Carolayne Henry, Mountain West; Corinne Wright, Northeast; Mike Matthews (co-chair), Erik Price, Pac-10; Dan Trump, Patriot; Gil Grimes, Southern; Beth Chapman, Southland; Sam Spade, Spade & Archer; Helen Grant, Sun Belt; Gloria Nevarez, WCC; Pat Hairston, WAC.

1. Approval of minutes of Nov. 6, 2002, conference call

The minutes were approved without dissent.

2. Conference compliance review materials

The group discussed the revised set of compliance review materials produced by the NCAA staff. Shoemake agreed to ask the staff if the document could be distributed electronically so that it could be tailored for conference use. The group discussed whether or not meetings with institutional CEOs were held during compliance review visits.

3. BSK Compliance Toolbox/NCAA Compliance Assistant software

The group noted it would view a demonstration of the NCAA product (CAi) at the ensuing Forum. It was reported that CAi was slated to ship in Spring 2003 and would be demonstrated at the NCAA Convention with hand-on training to occur at the NCAA Regional Seminars. The group identified several issues including data security, training costs, reliance on the software's function that results in a violation of NCAA rules, and data migration that it would address with the staff during the forum demo.

Lyons and Rodgers shared their conferences' experience with the BS&K Compliance Toolbox (CT). Wilcox noted that the CT currently had more conference-specific features than CAi.

4. Governance Subcommittee report

Iwaoka reported that changes to LSDBi would allow conferences and institutions to create reports regarding legislation with greater ease and variety.

Wilcox noted that a new survey regarding the number of legislative cycles and the role of the NCAA Convention in the Division I governance structure was due

shortly from the NCAA. He encouraged conferences to submit their preferences.

Henry noted that tracking legislation has proved to be difficult since restructuring. In addition, coaches groups may submit legislation via an NCAA subcommittee after conference submission deadlines, adding to tracking difficulties.

Iwaoka believed that there was a need for interpretations of proposals to be made before the proposals were subject to the Management Council's or the Board's final vote. She suggested a timeline be created in which proposals would be run through an interpretative process, starting with consultation with the sponsors about its legislative intent.

Lyons noted that LSDBi's listing of proposals and legislation would be enhanced if it included additional actions taken by the Management Council. Heppel added that linking proposals to their interpretations would be helpful as well.

5. Subcommittee meeting with enforcement staff

Wilcox reported that a subcommittee of the group met with members of the NCAA Enforcement staff (David Price and the director-level staff), Kevin Lennon, and Dave Schnase.

Wilcox believed the meeting went well and served to achieve its two goals: to enhance communication with the enforcement staff and to improve the quality of enforcement services. He said that notes and minutes from their meeting would be provided by the NCAA staff. He said the staff wanted to keep the dialog open.

Wilcox said the staff presented the following issues:

- (1) **Can the enforcement staff talk confidentially with conference staff about institutions?** The subcommittee was not comfortable withholding information about violations from institutions, but can assist the enforcement staff during the course of its investigations or when the status of a violation is still in doubt.
- (2) **Can the conference contact program with the enforcement staff be created?** The subcommittee favored using a contact program if it would assist institutions with securing answers to enforcement questions or to help provide notice to all conferences when an issue is brewing in several areas of the country (e.g., eligibility of foreign basketball student-athletes).
- (3) **Can the enforcement staff be more accessible at various seminars?** The subcommittee welcomed greater presence and participation of enforcement staff at the NCAA regional compliance seminars.

Wilcox believed that there was a widening trust gap between some institutions and the enforcement staff and that a conference contact program could help close that divide.

Wilcox reported the following issues of interest to the subcommittee:

- (1) **When investigating, is the enforcement staff providing a service to that institution or to the rest of the membership?** The enforcement staff believes it is fulfilling both functions when it conducts an investigation. The enforcement staff agreed that, due to limited resources, the NCAA's enforcement program is often self-policing and noted that half of all NCAA members do their own major and secondary investigations.
- (2) **The bumpy road to basketball financial disclosure forms.** Wilcox said the staff acknowledged it did not consult the affected constituent groups as much as it should have and will do so in the future.
- (3) **Should the Selected Secondary Violations table be expanded?** The enforcement staff was open to suggestions to expand the table.

Wilcox said the groups would continue to discuss the conference contact program, additions to the SSV table, and using the CCACA as a resource when it implements new regulatory policies. He reported that Price believed that the enforcement staff needed assistance from the membership in order to do its job better.

Some members of the group discussed their own conference's policies for processing violations and notifying institutions of allegations.

6. Financial aid deregulation

Iwaoka reported that the Management Council took no action of Proposals 2002-081, 2002-082, 2002-083-A, and 2002-083-B at its October meeting. The Committee on Financial Aid would provide a report on the competitive equity impact of the proposals prior to initial consideration of the proposals, she said.

Iwaoka stated that the Committee's position was that if a student-athlete received countable aid, s/he should be a counter, regardless of his/her recruitment status. McGovern-Campbell believed that need-based aid, whether the recipient was recruited or not, should not be countable. Heppel noted that the Big Ten believed that student-athletes who received only outside scholarships (which were not from a booster and had no restriction on the institution the recipient could attend) should not trigger counter status.

Iwaoka wondered if the definition of "recruited" should change rather than the definition of a counter.

7. Future conference calls

FYI: The next conference call is scheduled for January 8 (9 Pacific/10 Mountain/11 Central/12 Eastern); Phone: 703-375-5504, Passcode: 6141623. Additional calls will be held February 5, March 5, April 2, and May 14.