

CCA Compliance Administrators  
Teleconference  
December 6, 2000

Those participating: Tracy Shoemake, America East; Jill Deese, Atlantic Coast; Jackie Campbell, Atlantic 10; Jerome Rodgers, Heena Shaw, Big East; Ellen Ferris, Big Sky; Jennifer Heppel, Carol Iwaoka, Karen Toole, Big Ten; Lori Ebihara, Big Twelve; Erica Satterfield, Big West; Noreen Morris, Conference USA; Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Ivy; Dell Robinson, Mid-American; Alfreeda Goff, Midwestern Collegiate; Amy Huchthausen, Missouri Valley; Diane Vaughan, Ohio Valley; Mike Matthews, Pacific-10; Gil Grimes, Southern; Sherri Booker, Trans America; Pat Hairston, Western Athletic.

1. NCAA/CCACA Forum.

a. Mira Colman introduced proposed topics for the February 12-13, 2001 meeting. These topics and presenters are as follows: 1. Introduction - Kevin Lennon; 2. Student-Athlete Reinstatement &/or ARS Waivers - Julie Roe Sumner; 3. Athletics Certification - Keith Gill; 4. Basketball Issues/Committee Action Items/Recruiting Calendars – Steve Mallonee; 5. Membership Services Technology Enhancement; 6. Timing of Governance Mailings - Robin Green; 7. Update on Initial Eligibility Issues - Pat Britz; 8. Feedback on the Financial Aid Committee's deregulation initiatives for Bylaw 15; 9. Deregulation efforts in general - Dave Schnase; 10. Amateurism deregulation update, gambling issues, & new agent law info. - Bill Saum; 11. Reclassifying of provisional member information & other actions – Jim Johnson.

b. There were also suggestions from the group to include a discussion on Regional Seminar topics and a review of the October NCAA meeting with prep schools. The list of topics will be placed on the list serve for comments and suggestions.

c. Agreed to have an in person CCACA meeting at 10:00 a.m. on February 12 prior to the forum.

2. Approval of Minutes. Approved the minutes of the November 1, 2000 meeting.

3. Foreign Student-Athlete Special Assistance Fund Receipts – 14% Withholding Tax.

Noreen Morris requested input from conference offices that cut special assistance fund checks, and specifically the manner in which the 14% tax is withheld. The response from conferences that cut the checks directly to their institutions was that the institutions are responsible for withholding the funds. Some other conferences address the checks directly to the student-athlete, but there was concern about the logistics of withholding the tax funds for those conferences.

Ellen Ferris stated that the Big Sky Conference is going to cut two checks: one check directly to the school for the 14% withholding tax and another to the student-athlete for the remainder of the fund request. The institution would then be responsible for the funds and would treat them similarly to any other tax withholding.

4. Bylaw 14.4.3.6 (b) (waivers of satisfactory progress rule – international competition).

Mike Matthews gave an update on the under-20 Youth World Cup Soccer Championships (a FIFA-sponsored world championship), and whether a Bylaw 14.4.3.6 (b) satisfactory progress waiver could be used for participation in this event. Mike said that the latest information is that this issue will be referred to LRIS. The argument for including this event in the waiver process is that for one reason or another, conferences have already been granting waivers for this championship for some time. Also, this event is legitimately the only world championship opportunity for athletes in this age group.

5. 16.8.1.3 permissible expenses

Carolyn Campbell-McGovern stated that a problem exists in determining what should be considered “other competition” under Bylaw 16.8.1.3 because each sport has a unique perspective about what should be considered a qualifying competition. Also, the group agreed that an absence of uniformity in interpreting this bylaw exists throughout the country. Carolyn, in her role as chair of the Olympic Sports Liaison Committee agreed to a request from the group for the Committee to create a list that would define “other competition”. Carolyn said that she would bring a draft to the February meeting.

6. Cancellation of Aid in Cases of ACT/SAT Challenge.

Noreen Morris asked for suggestions from the group about the cancellation of financial aid when an ACT or SAT challenge occurs after a term has started and during the period of time that the score is being challenged. Noreen stated that she has received conflicting information from membership services and enforcement on this issue. Membership services has advised that the aid should be cancelled because if the test score is overturned then all aid would have to be returned by the student-athlete, including during the period of the challenge. Enforcement has advised that the aid does not have to be cancelled because a violation has not occurred until the test score is invalidated. Jennifer Heppel stated that the Big Ten institutions have not required the aid to be cancelled during the time that the score is being challenged. The reason being that until the score is invalidated, a permissible reason for the aid to be cancelled has not presented itself under Bylaw 15.3.4.1.

7. Future calls. Set the next conference call for 11:00 a.m. (CST) on Wednesday, January 10.

