



CCACA Conference call minutes

**Wed., August 7, 2002
9:00–10:00 a.m. PT**

Participants: Tracy Shoemake, America East (co-chair); Shane Lyons, Amy Huchthausen, ACC; Steve Sturek, Atlantic Sun; Liz Kane, Atlantic 10; Stan Wilcox, Lindsey Babcock, Big East; Ellen Ferris, Big Sky; Carol Iwaoka, Jennifer Heppel, Chad Hawley, Big Ten; Lori Ebihara, Big 12; Erica Satterfield, Big West; Noreen Morris, Myra Fishback, C-USA; Stephanie Jarvis, Allison Berner, Horizon; Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Nathan Fry, Ivy; Dell Robinson, Tracy Scott, Mid-American; Ed Grom, Mid-Continent; Sarah Weier, Missouri Valley; Carolayne Henry, Mountain West; Mike Matthews (co-chair), Pac-10; Dan Trump, Patriot; Gil Grimes, Southern; Helen Grant, Sun Belt; Jean-Luc Picard, UFP; Gloria Nevarez, WCC; Pat Hairston, WAC.

1. Approval of minutes of June 5, 2002, conference call

The minutes (including the email update of July 23 from Grimes) were approved without dissent.

2. How the new co-chairs will operate and who pays for what

Here's how the co-chairs will handle duties will break down for the first year, then likely switch roles in Year Two:

- Matthews will call for agenda items and build agenda
- Shoemake will chair the call
- Matthews will do minutes
- Shoemake will make call arrangements (dial-in number, etc.) and handle billing

It was agreed that all conferences will share in the costs of the call. Shoemake agreed to bill conferences twice a year.

3. Snacks, meals, and foodstuffs at fall football camps

As a follow-up to the NCAA's recent Hot Topic on this issue, Lyons said that he had advised others that it was permissible for S-As to take an extra snack away from their training table meal. Matthews noted he had advised his institutions similarly, noting that an institution may not create a separate bagged snack for S-As beyond the number of permissible meals per day.

4. ManCo/Board summer meeting issues

Iwaoka reported that coaches in the Big Ten favored adding swimming to the current tennis eligibility rule (NCAA 14.2.3.2) and that the conference may pursue the issue with the AEC Cabinet.

5. CCACA rep on LRIS

Shoemake agreed to conduct a vote among the group to determine the CCACA's ad hoc member to LRIS. Lyons and Grant offered their services and Shoemake

welcomed other nominees.

[Note: As a result of the final tally, Shane Lyons will be the new CCACA representative to LRIS. Congrats and condolences all around.]

6. NCAA Coaches Recruiting Certification Exam

Lyons reported that the AEC Cabinet and Recruiting Subcommittee were not in favor of allowing compliance officers to administer the NCAA Coaches Recruiting Certification Exam. He believed the faculty representatives wanted to maintain control of the exam and keep its administration outside of the athletics department. Campbell-McGovern indicated the Ivy Group would sponsor legislation to permit the compliance officer to administer the exam.

7. NCAA/CCACA Forum topics

Shoemake offered to collect topic ideas from the group for the November Forum and pass them on to Brad Hostetter at the NCAA. Suggestions:

- Organize the agenda to group related topics (e.g., have all enforcement/reinstatement presentations together)
- The new basketball legislation (what can and can't occur in April, July, and September)
- The basketball financial disclosure form (NCAA 6.5.1)

8. Reinstatement Update

Julie Roe and Jen Strawley of the NCAA staff joined the call to discuss the July Management Council directive regarding reinstatement conditions. Roe indicated a memo to the conferences was forthcoming, and emphasized that the new policies could affect prospects coaches were currently recruiting for the 2003-04 academic year.

The Student-Athlete Reinstatement Committee faced three issues: Contracts, Compensation, and Competition with Professionals.

Contracts

The Committee will now presume a prospect is ineligible if s/he signed a contract, a change from the past in which a determination about the prospect's "intent to professionalize" had to be made.

Compensation

If prize money exceeds expenses, prospects will be permanently ineligible, unless it is difficult to determine what the expenses were.

Competition

Prospects who participate on a professional team after their first opportunity to enroll in college will be permanently ineligible. Prospects who play on a

professional team prior to their first opportunity to enroll will face a 1-for-1 withholding penalty up to the length of one season (e.g. 28 basketball games).

The group reviewed several scenarios

- If a prospect has to sign a contract only to receive expenses, will he be permanently ineligible and not able to receive the 1-for-1 penalty?

The burden will be placed on the prospect to show he didn't professionalize himself. The presumption is that he will be ineligible.

- If a S-A must lose a season of competition for competing on a professional team, can she play immediately but have only three seasons of competition remaining?

No. The S-A must sit one year and lose a season of competition.

- Will there be problems determining the expected high school graduation date for prospects?

Probably so. The Foreign Student Records Committee may be of help here because it has determined this date for roughly 50 countries for Division II rules purposes.

- If a basketball S-A sits the first 20 games of the season (so there are eight games left), could he not play the rest of the season and be a redshirt?

No. This S-A would still only have three seasons of competition remaining. Reinstatement policies already state that a redshirt year cannot be used in this manner.

- A S-A enters college in January 2003. Is the current 8-game penalty still in effect?

Yes. August 1, 2003, is the starting point for all three (contracts, compensation, competition) of the new reinstatement policies. Summer 2003 enrollment is not a factor. The new policies apply to the first opportunity to enroll fulltime during the academic year beginning August 1, 2003. Competition may occur before August 1, 2003.; if a prospect's first opportunity to enroll is after August 1, 2003, she'll be affected by the new policies.

- What's the repayment policy regarding expenses received that are in excess of those that are actual and necessary?

If a S-A receives expenses from a professional team, they must be repaid. The Reinstatement Committee set this policy in June 2000 for expenses received on or after August 1, 2001.

- What about the last piece of the amateurism package?

The Board has been advised to defeat Proposal 99-106 {Ed. Note: And did defeat it}. Thus, the tennis eligibility rule and 21-year age rule (NCAA 14.2.3.2 and NCAA 14.2.3.5) will remain on the books.

- Suppose a team provided a player with a benefit not on the list of actual and necessary expenses [see NCAA 12.02.4-(a)], such as use of the telephone, or taking the team to a movie? Or what if the team provided this benefit to some other team member? Would that make the team a pro team and any players subject to the new penalties?

Unsure. If a team allowed a player to make a couple of phone calls, the Reinstatement Committee would have to take a reasonable approach. Lacking precedent, the Reinstatement Committee will have to discuss this.

Helpful links:

July 2002 ManCo Report

http://www1.ncaa.org/membership/governance/division_I/management_council/2002/July/July_2002_MC_Follow_up_report.htm

Attachment B from the ManCo Report

http://www1.ncaa.org/membership/governance/division_I/aec_cabinet/2002/Report_and_attachments.htm

9. Meeting with NCAA Enforcement staff

Wilcox reported that David Price of the NCAA welcomed a meeting between a CCACA group and the enforcement staff. It was suggested that the meeting could occur in November at the NCAA/CCACA Forum. Wilcox, Hairston, Ferris, Grant, and Chapman volunteered to work on this project.

10. Future meetings

Future conference call dates are September 4, October 2, November 6, December 4. The time is 9 Pacific/10 Mountain/11 Central, 12 Eastern. Phone number and passcode will be the same as for the August 7 call.

An in-person meeting will be held from 10 a.m.-12 p.m., Monday, November 18 in Indianapolis in conjunction with the NCAA/CCACA Forum.

11. NLI Release Request Form

It was reported that several commissioners, including some on the NLI Steering Committee, were caught unaware when the NLI issued the Release Request Form in May and made it effective immediately. It was suggested the process be clarified with the NLI office.

mm

8/30/02